MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

Type of Requestor: (x) HCP ( ) IE ( ) IC	**Response Timely Filed?** (x) Yes () No
Requestor's Name and Address Vista Medical Center Hospital 4301 Vista Road Pasadena, Texas 77504	MDR Tracking No.: M4-03-8117-01 TWCC No.: Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address Health Administration Services P O Box 672447 Houston, Texas 77267-2447 Box 42	Date of Injury: Employer's Name: Humble ISD Insurance Carrier's No.: WCLTTEEC002499

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	To			
07/13/02	07/19/02	Surgical Admission	$3,943.15	$0.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"In this instance, the audited charges that remained in dispute after the last bill review by the insurance carrier were $8915.00. The prior amounts paid by the carrier were $0. Therefore, the Carrier is required to reimburse the remainder of the Workers' Compensation Reimbursement Amount of $6686.25, plus interest."

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent did not submit a position statement.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed $40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

The provider did not submit an operative report to determine unusually extensive services. However, the procedure codes, 81.02 indicate anterior cervical fusion, code 80.51 indicate excision of intervertebral disc and 77.79 indicate excision of other bone graft.

After reviewing the information provided by both parties and the procedure codes performed, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The carrier made reimbursement based on per diem (6 day stay, but did not reimburse the provider for the implantables because the provider did not submit invoices to determine reimbursement); the carrier submitted evidence that they paid the provider an amount of $34,163.63 for the 6 day stay. The provider did not submit any invoices indicating the amount billed for the implantables. Therefore, MDR cannot determine the cost of the implantables and no additional reimbursement is recommended.

Therefore, based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is not entitled to an additional reimbursement.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor **is not** entitled to additional reimbursement.

Ordered by:

	Michael Bucklin	06/15/05
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order

PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on _____. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier: _____ Date: _____